

From: CENWW-DE NWW  
 Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 1998 10:45 AM  
 To: Kirts, Linda R NWW; Charlton, Mark C NWW  
 Subject: FW: Ancient Remains -Reply  
 Privileged: AC

Note the messages below. Both of you see me ASAP for details. DRC

**From: Griffin, Robert H BG NWD**  
 Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 1998 8:54 AM  
 To: Fuhrman, Russell HQ01  
 Cc: Allaman, Kristine L NWD02; Curtis, Donald R LTC NWW; Jackson, Clifton P NWD; Keller, David N NWD; Ransom, Rebecca B NWD; Bryson, Brian HQ01; Caver, Fred HQ01  
 Subject: RE: Ancient Remains -Reply

Sir,  
 Thanks for the support!  
 Don,  
 You have the OK to proceed. Need to work with the congressional staffs as much as possible ie. keeping them informed but must proceed with doing the right thing by 1. Meeting the in water work window and 2. Prepare for the likelihood of damaging high spring flows.

-----Original Message-----

**From: Fuhrman, Russell HQ01**  
 Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 1998 08:45  
 To: Griffin, Robert H BG NWD  
 Cc: Allaman, Kristine L NWD02; Curtis, Donald R LTC NWW; Jackson, Clifton P NWD; Keller, David N NWD; Ransom, Rebecca B NWD; Bryson, Brian HQ01; Caver, Fred HQ01  
 Subject: FW: Ancient Remains -Reply

BOB-RIGHT ON-CROSS THE LD

Griffin Robert H BG 03/17/98 07:40am >>>

Sir,

Don Curtis is ready to protect the site. We have these narrow fish windows to do the work as you know so an opportunity will soon pass if we do not ask. I don't like dragging you into these details but we have briefed Sen Gorton on what we are doing and he seemed satisfied to know we were not covering the site. Latest move at least from his staff is they want Congressional review before we start. Seems like a precedent we don't want to set and will also undoubtedly doom the NWW effort in lost time. This appears to be one of those "don't blink" issues.....agree??

-----Original Message-----

**From: CENWW-DE NWW**  
 Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 1998 7:18 AM  
 To: Griffin, Robert H BG NWD  
 Cc: Charlton, Mark C NWW; Kirts, Linda R NWW  
 Subject: RE: Ancient Remains

Sir,

It is something we need to do and I concur that we definitely need to send this up the line. MG Fuhrman I familiar with this action and essentially we're complying with guidance that came from the Chief through him.

No we are not complying with a court order. We are taking actions necessary to protect the site, something which the Bonichsen et al object to. Because of this we would inform the court allowing them the opportunity to seek injunctive relief.

The bottom line is, with the exception of this new requirement by SEN Gorton, we're close to completing a mission we were given several months ago.

LTC Curtis

-----  
**From: Griffin, Robert H BG NWD**  
 Sent: Monday, March 16, 1998 6:48 PM

" S-381